1	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP	er en					
2	DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257) GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)						
_	HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045)						
3	ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)						
4	BREE HANN (SBN 215695) Three Embarcadero Center						
•	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067						
5	Telephone: (415) 393-2000						
_	Facsimile: (415) 393-2286						
6	donn.pickett@bingham.com						
7	geoff.howard@bingham.com holly.house@bingham.com						
•	zachary.alinder@bingham.com						
8	bree.hann@bingham.com						
9	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)						
10	JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227) 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7						
_	Redwood City, CA 94070						
11	Telephone: (650) 506-4846						
12	Facsimile: (650) 506-7114						
12	dorian.daley@oracle.com						
13	jennifer.gloss@oracle.com						
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs						
14	Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and						
15	Oracle EMEA Limited, and Siebel Systems, Inc.						
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
16	ONITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT					
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA					
1 /	CAN ED ANGLE	0.77770-0-5					
18	SAN FRANCISC	O DIVISION					
4.0	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	CASE NO. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)					
19	ordrobb obri, five., et al.,	CASE NO. 07-CV-01038 PJH (EDL)					
20	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF DORIAN					
20	v.	DALEY IN SUPPORT OF					
21	SAP AG, et al.,	PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO					
22	SAI AG, et ut.,	DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO PERMIT					
22	Defendants.	DEFENDANTS TO FILE UNDER					
23		SEAL PLAINTIFFS' INFORMATION					
		DISCLOSED IN DEFENDANTS'					
24		ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE					
25		DEFENSES TO FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT					
25		~ WATER AMERICA					
26							
27							
28		•					

1 I, Dorian Daley, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and am

 Senior Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary of Oracle Corporation ("Oracle"). I have

 personal knowledge of the facts stated within this Declaration and could testify competently to

 them if required.
- 2. I have reviewed the material contained in paragraph 13 of Defendants' 6 Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Fourth Amended Complaint ("Defendants' Answer"). The 7 part of paragraph 13 that Oracle requests be filed under seal relates to a meeting in November 8 2007 that included me, Michael Junge (the General Counsel of SAP AG) and outside counsel. 9 SAP's counsel requested the meeting for the stated purpose of discussing my desire as litigation **10** counsel to have access to material designated as "Highly Confidential -- Attorneys Eyes Only" 11 12 pursuant to the Protective Order entered in this case. At that meeting, Mr. Junge and his counsel initiated a settlement discussion. The proposal SAP made was unacceptable to Oracle for many 13 reasons, including because I felt strongly it could have the unintended consequence of violating 14 antitrust laws. The meeting ended with no settlement and no agreement to continue the 15 discussions. 16
 - 3. The participants did agree on one thing, however, which was that the discussions in that meeting were confidential settlement discussions governed by, and pursuant to, Federal Rule of Evidence 408. See Docket No. 439 (August 26, 2009 Stipulation to Permit Defendants to File Under Seal Plaintiffs' Information Disclosed in Defendants' Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Fourth Amended Complaint stating that "the parties agree that the materials put at issue by the Answer are governed by Fed. R. Evid. 408.") As a confidential settlement communication, Oracle believes this material should be maintained by the parties in confidence, which Oracle has done. In addition, because the description itself is inaccurate, incomplete, and lacks context, the disclosure may cause Oracle competitive harm by leading customers or partners to believe, incorrectly, that Oracle did not act reasonably in enforcing its intellectual property rights.

28

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document457 Filed08/31/09 Page3 of 3

1	4. For the reasons above, the material in paragraph 13 contains non-public,					
2	commercially sensitive and confidential information the disclosure of which would violate the					
3	policies behind Rule 408 and would pose the risk of significant competitive injury and					
4	particularized harm and prejudice to Oracle.					
5	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Execute					
6	in Redwood Shores, California, on August 31, 2009.					
7						
8		75	Dorian Daley	\mathcal{A}_{γ}	-	
9	• •		J			
10						
11						
12	•					
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24					•	
25						
26						
27						
28						